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Abstract We assess the deterministic skill in seasonal climate predictions of Sahel rainfall made with the North American Multimodel Ensemble (NMME). We find that skill for a regionally averaged rainfall index is essentially the same for forecasts for the July–September target season made as early as February/March and as late as June. The two dominant influences on the climate of the Sahel, the North Atlantic and the global tropical oceans, shape this predictability. Multimodel ensemble skill hinges on the combination of skillful predictions of the El Niño–Southern Oscillation made with one model (CMC2-CanCM4) with those of North Atlantic sea surface temperatures made with another (NASA-GEOSS2S).

Plain Language Summary The seasonal climate outlook forum for the Sudano-Sahelian region of West Africa convenes in middle/late April at the earliest, because the statistical models currently in use to make predictions for the July–September rainy season have little skill before then. Here we show that the North American Multimodel Ensemble (NMME), a seasonal climate prediction system based on dynamical models, predicts Sahel-wide July–September rainfall anomalies in February/March with essentially the same skill as in June. An earlier, by 2–3 months, outlook is consequential to decisions that can exploit it for better preparedness, such as purchasing, stocking, and distributing adapted seed varieties or triggering humanitarian intervention to prevent regional food insecurity. The NMME prediction system owes its skill to the correct characterization of oceanic influence on Sahel rainfall, which is achieved by combining output from two models particularly skillful at predicting North Atlantic and tropical Pacific sea surface temperature anomalies respectively. Recognition that the oceanic source of predictability is the same for the entire region means that whether the forecast for the regional average holds in a given year, at a specific location, largely depends on the strength of oceanic influence in that year, rather than on any local condition or consideration.

1. Introduction

Rainfall in the Sahel, the semiarid southern edge of the Sahara, is characterized by high spatiotemporal variability. Variability in time is evident in Figure 1, an update of Ali and Lebel’s (2009) analysis, in the multidecadal swings between the anomalously wet 1950s and 1960s and the anomalously dry 1970s and 1980s. Interannual variability is particularly marked in the current epoch, which has been labeled a partial recovery (AGRHYMET, 2010; Nicholson, 2005). Variability in space is an intrinsic property of convective precipitation (Le Barbé & Lebel, 1997; Rio et al., 2019). Despite this apparent complexity, seasonal and subcontinental anomalies are coherent. The strength of observed regionally averaged precipitation anomalies is proportional to the area characterized by anomalies of consistent sign; that is to say that the larger the anomaly in the regional average, the more extensive the area of anomaly of the same sign (Ali & Lebel, 2009). A leading Empirical Orthogonal Function of sub-Saharan African rainfall variability defines the Sahel as the poleward edge of the Northern Hemisphere summer monsoon (Giannini et al., 2005). In models, this pattern is present in atmospheric simulations run over climatological sea surface temperature (SST) and amplified in the presence of observed SST variability.
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For societies where a large fraction of the population finds employment in the agricultural sector, skillful seasonal prediction is a valuable tool to manage crop risk related to climate variation (Ouedraogo et al., 2018; Tall et al., 2018). Indeed, the West African climate outlook forum has met annually since 1998 to produce consensus forecasts (Ogallo et al., 2000; Traoré et al., 2014). Initially a single forum, referred to as PRESAO from the French acronym for Prévisions Saisonnieres en Afrique de l'Ouest (Seasonal Predictions in West Africa), the process has recently split into two, PRESA-GG and PRESA-SS, involving the Gulf of Guinea and Sudano-Sahelian countries, respectively, in recognition of differences in seasonality. The original prediction methodology was statistical and exploited multilinear regression to relate the predictand, that is, precipitation at broad subnational scales, with predictors chosen among a small set of SST indices (e.g., Baddour, 1998; Folland et al., 1991; Ward et al., 1993). In current practice, during the preforum experts from the National Meteorological Services present their predictions, still largely statistical in nature. Discussions combining these quantitative assessments with the qualitative assessment of predictions made by research and operational centers worldwide are distilled into a consensus forecast, which is communicated to stakeholders in the forum.

Globally, seasonal prediction has evolved from a two-tier to a one-tier approach. In the two-tier approach of the mid-1990s, SSTs were predicted first, usually with a combination of statistical and dynamical models, and used as boundary conditions for atmospheric models (e.g., Barnston et al., 2003). In the current one-tier approach, a coupled ocean-atmosphere model is used to simultaneously predict SST and atmospheric variables of interest, typically temperature and precipitation. Operational centers, labeled Global Producing Centres of Long-range Forecasts by the World Meteorological Organization, make predictions with dynamical models. In fact, current prediction systems combine repeated simulations—termed ensembles, made up of members started from slightly different initial conditions—with different coupled models into a multimodel ensemble (MME). These efforts started with Development of a European Multimodel Ensemble system for seasonal to inTERannual prediction, a European project (Palmer, 2004). Efforts to increase access to the output from dynamical forecasts are more recent. The North American Multimodel Ensemble (NMME Kirtman et al., 2014), the prediction system exploited here, is one such system. It started sharing real-time forecasts in 2011. These are updated monthly and are openly accessible through the IRI Data Library (see supporting information for a brief tutorial).

To facilitate the production of national forecasts at PRESAO, IRI developed the Climate Predictability Tool (CPT Mason & Tippett, 2017). Using dynamical model output as the predictor field, Ndiaye et al. (2008) demonstrated the improvement in skill when using the 925 hPa wind field for Sahel rainfall instead of rainfall itself. The use of a dynamical prediction system over a statistical one is advantageous, because once a robust model output statistics (MOS) routine is put in place, such routine is independent of lead time. In contrast, because there is no guarantee that the predictors extracted from observations for a given lead time would be the same for all lead times, development of statistical routines requires that a model be developed for each lead time. To illustrate this difference, let us presume that predictability in our region of interest is defined by the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO). The skill of a statistical prediction system is constrained by the ability to identify the signature associated with ENSO evolution in observations at the desired lead time. In contrast, a dynamical prediction system relies on the system’s ability to predict ENSO with the desired lead time. Ndiaye et al. (2011) first demonstrated the potential for increasing forecast lead time in the Sahel using dynamical models, highlighting the ability of the National Center for Environmental Prediction Climate Forecast System (CFS) to capture the ENSO. Sheen et al. (2017) showed skill in forecasts initialized in November for the following June–August season in the UK Met Office forecast system DePreSys.

Because it still relies primarily on statistical schemes, the PRESAO process does not attempt predictions earlier than April or May for the June–August and July–September seasons in the Sudano-Sahel. Here we report on the breakthrough in increased lead time of a skillful prediction for Sahel precipitation, which is made all the more robust by exploitation of a multimodel ensemble, the NMME. Second, we reflect on the spatiotemporal nature of predictability, specifically its oceanic origin and implications for the provision of local information typically demanded by real-world decisions.

**Figure 1.** Standardized Sahelian rainfall index from rain gauge observations. Updated from and calculated over the same region as Ali and Lebel (2009), covering the countries of the CILSS, from the Atlantic coast to Chad.
2. Data and Methods

Predictors are derived from the precipitation fields output by five models in the NMME, one per modeling group (Environment Canada, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center/Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NCAR/Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies/Rosenstiel School for Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, NOAA/Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, and NOAA/National Centers for Environmental Prediction/Climate Prediction Center). The model versions selected were current as of the 2018 PRESA-SS, which was held in Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire from 30 April to 4 May. Details of the simulations are reported in Table S1 in the supporting information. The predictors are as follows:

1. regional rainfall averages, over two domains of extremely different size: a subcontinental Sahel (10–20°N, 20°W to 40°E), and a rectangular domain encompassing a single country (Senegal: 13–16°N, 17–12°W).
2. the full precipitation fields over the same domains specified in (1).
Predictands are derived from CHIRPS (University of California, Santa Barbara’s Climate Hazards group InfraRed Precipitation with Station data Funk et al., 2015). They mirror the two types of predictors defined above, that is, spatial average or explicit grid point-by-grid point field. Specifically, in the left and middle columns of the rows in Figure 2, discussed in section 3, predictor and predictand quantities are the same. In addition, to measure the spatial coherence of predictions, we consider a third type of predictand:

3 the fraction of area characterized by abundant rains, that is, the portion of grid points in a region with rainfall anomalies greater than 0.5 times the local standard deviation.

We assess predictions at two different spatial scales, namely, subcontinental and country-wide, in part to highlight the large-scale nature of predictability of Sahelian climate and in part to give a sense of how such predictability is affected when national perspectives are taken into account. The specific choice of Senegal is relevant to discussions of the heterogeneity of climatic variations between the western and central Sahel (Biasutti, 2013; Lamptey, 2008; Lebel & Ali, 2009; Panthou et al., 2018; Salack et al., 2014). We provide recipes to download predictor fields from the IRI Data Library in the supporting information.

We are interested in seasonal prediction of precipitation accumulation for the core monsoon season, that is, July–September (JAS). We refer to the shortest lead time, that of a prediction for JAS made at the beginning of June, as a 1 month lead and to the longest lead time, that of a prediction made for the same JAS target at the beginning of January, as a 6 month lead. For each model we compute the average of all available ensemble members, with ensemble size varying with model and/or between hindcasts (1981–2010) and real-time forecasts (2011–2016) as reported in Table S1. Single-model ensemble means are weighted equally when averaged into the multimodel mean. We use deterministic measures of skill, that is, both Pearson and Spearman correlations (Barnston et al., 2019; Becker et al., 2014), meaning that we only take into consideration information derived from the ensemble mean, not from the ensemble spread.

3. Results

The top panel of Figure 2 shows time series of NMME predictions of Sahel-wide rainfall anomaly at different lead times, in color, and compares them to observations, in black. Qualitatively, it is possible to associate successes in prediction with the recurrence of La Niña events and abundant rainfall, for example, in 1988, 1998–99, and 2010, and of El Niño events and deficient rainfall, for example, in 1987, 1997, and 2009. It is also possible to identify calamitous forecast failures, most notably, in 1984, the driest year in the twentieth century.

3.1. Prediction Skill as a Function of Lead Time and Spatial Extent

Panels in the lower portion of Figure 2 quantify skill dependence on forecast start date. Skill is measured by correlation between predictions and observations of rainfall over the 35 year period of study (1982–2016: the 5% significance level with 33 degrees of freedom is 0.33, plotted in the thick gray dotted line). In each panel, the forecast made for the shortest lead time, at the beginning of June, is on the left, with lead time increasing to the right. Panels on the top of two rows in Figure 2 are for the subcontinental Sahel, and panels on the bottom of two rows are for a box including Senegal. In each panel, solid lines denote Spearman correlation and dashed lines Pearson correlation, in the thick red line for the multimodel mean and in thinner lines of different color for the single models.

The panels in the left column of the rows in Figure 2 represent correlations of regional averages, that is, the skill in predicting the spatially averaged anomaly in accumulation for the region under consideration. Predictor and predictand are the same. For the subcontinental Sahelian average (top row, left) multimodel mean values are remarkably consistent across lead times, varying between 0.5 and 0.6. Two models show skill comparable to the multimodel mean, those in the orange and turquoise lines. Orange model correlations are lowest for forecasts with start dates in January and February and increase as lead time decreases. Turquoise model correlations are lowest for forecasts with start dates in January and February and increase as lead time decreases (with the notable exception of the orange model).

The panels in the middle column of the rows in Figure 2 represent anomaly correlation as defined in Becker et al. (2014): Correlations in time between the ensemble mean predicted and observed fields,
regridded to the same $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid in longitude and latitude, are first computed locally, at each grid point, then averaged over all grid points in the domain, again for the entire subcontinental Sahel in the top row and for Senegal in the bottom row. Again, predictor and predictand are the same. The loss of skill when comparing grid point value (middle column) and regional average (left column) predictions is large. The average of local correlation values varies around 0.3 for the multimodel mean of subcontinental Sahel rainfall, against values between 0.5 and 0.6 for the regional average, and is consistently lower for single-model forecasts. The loss of skill is smaller in the case of Senegal, where it was lower to begin with.

To further characterize the nature of local predictability, the panels in the right column in the rows in Figure 2 depict the skill in predicting measures of spatial coherence using the Sahel regional average as predictor. In the top row, right column, Sahel average accumulation is used to predict the fraction of Sahelian domain covered by a positive anomaly 0.5 times the local standard deviation or greater. Ali and Lebel (2009) found consistency in the relationship between the magnitude of a regionally averaged anomaly and its spatial coherence, that is, the spatial extent of anomalies of the same sign. We interpret the comparison of skill in predicting the area with significant positive precipitation, in the top row, right column of Figure 2, with that in predicting the regional average, in the top row, left column, consistently with Ali and Lebel (2009). The regional average is a good measure of the strength of the signal: The stronger the signal, the larger the number of points behaving consistently with it. However, the loss of skill in the top row, middle column implies that exactly which points or locations will behave as predicted, and which will deviate from prediction, is unpredictable. In the bottom row, right column, Sahel average accumulation is used to predict Senegal average accumulation. Comparison of the bottom row, left and right columns in Figure 2 shows that

Figure 3. Regressions of predicted July–September Sahel rainfall with simultaneously predicted sea surface temperatures, for start dates from January on the right to June on the left. The separate top row is for the multimodel mean. Rows below are for single models. Values are in degrees Celsius: contour starts at 0.1° and is every 0.2°. Color, red for positive values and blue for negative values, indicates statistical significance of the regression values at the 5% level.
the Sahel average is a better predictor of Senegal average rainfall than the Senegal average itself and that, once more, the orange model is more skillful than the multimodel mean.

3.2. Oceanic Sources of Predictability
To characterize the oceanic origin of NMME predictability, we regress predictions of subcontinental July–September average Sahel rainfall onto the simultaneous SST field. In Figure 3, the predicted rainfall index is regressed against predicted SST fields. In Figure S1 in the supporting information, the same predicted rainfall index is regressed against observed SST fields. Panels in each row of Figure 3 (and Figure S1 in the supporting information) are ordered by forecast start date, with the shortest lead, June, on the left and the longest, January, on the right. The single row at the top of Figure 3 (and Figure S1) represents the multimodel mean. The following five rows represent each model’s ensemble mean.

ENSO is the strongest source of predictability (Ndiaye et al., 2011). As expected, above-average Sahel rainfall is associated with the negative phase of ENSO, or La Niña conditions in the tropical Pacific (Giannini et al., 2003; Janicot et al., 1996; Ward, 1998). The ENSO signature is present in the multimodel mean and in most models at all lead times. The long-lead skill in ENSO prediction of CMC2-CanCM4, the turquoise model in the rows in Figure 2 and the third row in the bottom of Figure 3, is well known (e.g., Gonzalez & Goddard, 2016). This model’s skill in predicting Sahel rainfall rivals that of the multimodel mean for all start dates except April, as noted in the previous subsection. This loss of skill in predicting Sahel rainfall in view of the model’s skill in predicting ENSO can be interpreted as a relic of the spring predictability barrier.

The North Atlantic Ocean is a complementary source of predictability. Its contribution is best captured in two models, in the middle and especially in the bottom of two rows in Figure 3, which shape the multimodel mean picture. These correspond to the turquoise and orange lines in the rows in Figure 2, respectively. In the turquoise model, CMC2-CanCM4, the North Atlantic warming that is positively correlated with Sahel rainfall is extratropical in winter and propagates, for lack of a better word, along the eastern boundary toward the tropics as the Sahelian rainy season approaches. In the orange model, NASA-GEOSS2S, the 5% statistical significance of positive regression values colors the entire North Atlantic basin starting in January, with largest values in extratropical latitudes, between 30°N and 60°N. Regression values in the tropical North Atlantic weaken in March–May and strengthen in June, while regression values with ENSO strengthen, peaking in May. In the multimodel mean, the fact that extratropical North Atlantic Ocean anomalies are strongest in winter (January and February start dates) supports relating these to wintertime North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) forcing of SST anomalies. The late-spring strengthening of tropical North Atlantic anomalies is suggestive of reemergence mediated by the response of trade winds to higher-latitude SST anomalies (Chiang et al., 2003; Czaja et al., 2002; Clement et al., 2015; Seager et al., 2000) and is worthy of more detailed research.

3.3. Translating Insights Into Practice at PRESA-SS
Finally, to relate directly to the practice of making seasonal predictions at PRESA-SS, we run CPT to test our insights about the spatiotemporal predictability of Sahel rainfall. As an illustration, we consider predictions made at the beginning of April, the start date most closely preceding the current PRESA-SS calendar. The predictor field is NMME multimodel mean precipitation in the 10–20°N, 20°W to 40°E region. The predictand field is CHIRPS precipitation in the same region. To filter out spatial noise, when running canonical correlation analysis (CCA; Bretherton et al., 1992), CPT extracts the dominant spatiotemporal pattern(s) applying principal component analysis (PCA Preisendorfer, 1988) to the predictor and predictand fields. The resulting leading patterns of variability in each field are correlated in CCA to predict the best correlated pattern(s). CPT conveniently automates this routine and provides cross-validated measures of skill. One such summary measure is the goodness index, defined as the spatial average of Kendall’s tau rank correlation (Alfaro et al., 2018; Wilks, 2011). CPT computes this index for all combinations of predictor and predictand principal components (PCs) and retains as the predictive model the one associated with the highest goodness index.

In our case, when we test retaining a maximum of 10 PCs of the predictor and predictand fields to predict a single precipitation pattern, the best model is composed of all 10 predictor and only 1, the first, predictand PCs. These retain, respectively, 96% of the total variance of the predictor field and 46% of the predictand field. This model essentially predicts Sahel-wide rainfall. The goodness index varies between 0.247 and 0.359, when 1 and 10 predictor PCs are retained, respectively. In comparison, when we use the Sahel-wide precipitation average as the single predictor, CPT computes a goodness skill of 0.226. These values are consistent with the multimodel mean anomaly correlation values plotted in Figure 2, in the top row, middle column,
Figure 4. Correlation maps of the five leading NMME precipitation predictor fields in the region 10–20°N, 20°W to 40°E extracted from CPT with (left) precipitation and (right) SST. Predictions are made in April for the July–September season over 1982–2016. Only values statistically significant at the 5% level, corresponding to a value of 0.33, are plotted. Contour is every 0.2, starting at 0.4.

As a cross-check, to see whether we missed any potential sources of predictability, we extract the 10 predictor (precipitation) PCs from CPT and correlate them with predictions of precipitation and SST in the NMME multimodel mean. The first five patterns are shown in Figure 4. The first is a Sahelian pattern. Not surprisingly, over the 1982–2016 period it correlates strongly with ENSO. The second is a Gulf of Guinea pattern which strongly correlates with local SSTs but has no projection on the Sahel (Giannini et al., 2003, 2005). Despite the intriguing SST patterns of PCs 3 and 4 in the North Atlantic, the projection onto Sahel rainfall of the remaining patterns is nonexistent. This behavior raises the concern of varying between 0.25 and 0.35.
that a model that essentially takes as many predictor PCs as are available may be contaminated with artificial skill—statistical skill that has no physical counterpart—and strengthens our conclusion that the predictability is all in a Sahel-wide pattern.

4. Conclusions

We assessed the deterministic skill of the NMME, an operational, state-of-the-art seasonal climate prediction system, in predicting July–September precipitation over the Sahel. We found skill in predicting regionally and seasonally averaged rainfall anomalies as early as February/March. Skill in the multimodel mean is the combination of skillful predictions of ENSO in one model and of North Atlantic sea surface temperatures in another. That such distinct behaviors simply add up to shape multimodel mean skill is exemplary of the value of multimodel ensemble prediction systems. Interestingly, the skill of a system composed of only these two best models, which correspond to the turquoise and orange lines in the rows in Figure 2, is more variable: It is higher in some instances, lower in others, than the skill of the system based on all models considered here (see Figure S2 in the supporting information). At the smaller scale of Senegal, the greater skill of the model that best predicts North Atlantic temperatures is indicative of the greater relative influence of the adjacent basin on the westernmost portion of the Sahel. This behavior points to east-west differences in subregional dynamics that merit following up.

The skill at lead times of 3–4 months on the start of the rainy season that is described here is a significant advancement. Its practical implications are profound, considering that the current regional climate outlook forum process, largely based on statistical prediction models, convenes in May or April at the earliest. Awaiting a further quantitative assessment, this level of skill should be sufficient for the timely communication of an early qualitative outlook. This may be relevant for national governments to assure timely approval of the budget items supporting the agricultural sector, in the form of purchasing and stocking for inputs that are best adapted to the predicted character of the upcoming season. It may be even more relevant for institutions concerned with regional food security, such as the CILSS (Comité Permanent Inter-États de Lutte contre la Sécheresse dans le Sahel, or Permanent Interstate Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel) and its global partners, including the UN World Food Programme, the Famine Early Warning Systems Network, and the Réseau de Prévention des Crises Alimentaires, because it could buy them more time to secure donor funding ahead of a potential large-scale crisis, such as a repeat drought year.

Finally, we find confirmation that Sahelian variability is shaped by the interplay of independent, North Atlantic and global tropical, sources of predictability, encapsulated in the North Atlantic Relative Index (Giannini et al., 2013). Indeed, the competition in warming between North Atlantic and global tropical oceans under the influence of greenhouse gases is one way to interpret the increased interannual variability that is qualitatively manifest in any Sahelian rainfall time series since the mid-1990s, including that in Figure 1—behavior which makes seasonal prediction all the more valuable.
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Introduction

This Supplementary Information includes additional Figures and a Table. Figures S1 and S2 are extensions of figures 3 and 2, respectively. Table S1 provides detailed information about the model simulations analyzed. It also includes a template recipe to define and download rainfall predictors from the NMME archive maintained in the IRI Data Library.

Figure S1. The Sahel precipitation index derived from NMME predictions is correlated with the observed patterns of sea surface temperature (SST). The intent is to compare these correlation patterns with those that result from correlating the same index with predicted SSTs, in Figure 3, to gauge the extent to which NMME captures the SST-Sahel precipitation relationship.

Figure S2. The left panel is repeated from the top row, left column of Figure 2, which details the skill dependence on lead time for the 5-model ensemble. It is compared to the same skill for a 2-model ensemble based on the best models, in the right panel. As discussed in the Conclusions section, while the skill of the smaller ensemble is at times larger, the skill of the larger ensemble is more stable across lead times.

Table S1. This table contains details about the simulations analyzed, made with the 5 models named in the Data and Methods section of the article.
Accessing the NMME in the IRI Data Library

The IRI Data Library (IRIDL) maintains a regularly updated archive of NMME model output, including hindcasts and proper real-time forecasts (see Table S1) at http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/SOURCES/.Models/.NMME/. In this note we document the steps to (1) select model output, e.g., choose models and variables, and define spatial domain, start date and lead time, (2) combine models into the multi-model mean, and (3) download in a format compatible with the Climate Predictability Tool (CPT).

Working behind the scenes is ingrid (See http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/dochelp/Documentation/funcindex.html for function documentation), the coding language germane to the IRIDL, developed to select, analyze and visualize data in a web browser environment. The coding becomes visible by clicking on the Expert mode tab. This action opens a window, to which the lines of code described below, in Courier font, can be copied and pasted directly. (Clicking on the OK button below the Expert mode window executes the code.)

Let us start with an NMME model that archives hindcasts and forecasts in the same directory, and select the precipitation (prec) variable. From http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/SOURCES/.Models/.NMME/, first select the model, COLA-RSMAS-CCSM4, by clicking on its name, then the MONTHLY directory, which contains the archive of predictions, and finally the variable, prec. These actions are explicit in Expert mode as:

```
SOURCES .Models .NMME .COLA-RSMAS-CCSM4 .MONTHLY .prec
```

We specify the geographic domain of interest by applying the function RANGEEDGES to the X and Y grids:
where \( X \) and \( Y \) are typically longitude and latitude respectively. In \textit{ingrid} longitudes west of the Greenwich meridian and latitudes south of the Equator are identified by negative values, longitudes east and latitudes north, by positive values. The ranges set above correspond to the sub-continental Sahel as defined in this study: 10-20\(^{\circ}\)N, 20\(^{\circ}\)W-40\(^{\circ}\)E.

We average gridpoints in the domain into a regional index with the command line

\[ [X \ Y] \text{ average} \]

Start date and lead time of prediction are typically indicated by \( S \) and \( L \) respectively. The following:

\[ S \ (0000 \ 1 \ Apr) \ \text{VALUES} \]

\[ L \ (3.5) \ (5.5) \ \text{RANGEEDGES} \]

denotes a prediction made on 1 April, with lead times comprised between 3.5 and 5.5 months. Since months are typically identified by the mid-month date, \textit{e.g.}, 16 Jan, 16 Feb, 16 Mar, etc., this combination of selections on \( S \) and \( L \) identifies the July-September period. A prediction made on 1 April for the June-August period looks like this:

\[ S \ (0000 \ 1 \ Apr) \ \text{VALUES} \]

\[ L \ (2.5) \ (4.5) \ \text{RANGEEDGES} \]

In addition, \( M \) typically denotes ensemble member. Therefore, \([M] \text{ average}\) denotes the ensemble mean over all members available.

The combined specifications for the case of a model archiving hindcasts and forecasts in the same directory, resulting in April predictions for July-September Sahel average rainfall.
over the entire period covered by the NMME (1982 to present), can be copied and pasted
directly to the Expert mode window, where they look like this:

```
SOURCES .Models .NMME .COLA-RSMAS-CCSM4 .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES
Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES
L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average
[M X Y]average
```

The same specifications for the case of a model archiving hindcasts and forecasts in
separate directories look like this:

```
SOURCES .Models .NMME .CMC2-CanCM4 .HINDCAST .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average
[M X Y]average
SOURCES .Models .NMME .CMC2-CanCM4 .FORECAST .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average
[M X Y]average
appendstream
```
In other words, the specifications are repeated for hindcasts and forecasts, and the two data streams are combined using the function `appendstream`.

To combine more models into the multi-model mean, models are added together and divided by their number. Using the two models described thus far:

```plaintext
SOURCES .Models .NMME .CMC2-CanCM4 .HINDCAST .MONTHLY .prec
  X -20 40 RANGEEDEGS Y 10 20 RANGEEDEGS
  S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDEGS
  [L] /keepgrids average [M X Y]average

SOURCES .Models .NMME .CMC2-CanCM4 .FORECAST .MONTHLY .prec
  X -20 40 RANGEEDEGS Y 10 20 RANGEEDEGS
  S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDEGS
  [L] /keepgrids average [M X Y]average

appendstream
SOURCES .Models .NMME .COLA-RSMAS-CCSM4 .MONTHLY .prec
  S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDEGS
  X -20 40 RANGEEDEGS Y 10 20 RANGEEDEGS
  [L] /keepgrids average
  [M X Y]average
  add 2 div
```

yields the result sought, with the last line, `add 2 div`, signifying that the two data streams are first added up and then divided by 2. Note that in `ingrid` space and line break are equivalent.
Manipulations to consider in order to tailor data selection to user needs include:

- selecting a different spatial domain. The domain is set using the functions `RANGE` or `RANGEEDGES` operating on the X and Y grids. For example, in the country case investigated in this study, the rectangular domain comprising Senegal, defined by 13-16°N, 17-12°W, is rendered as `Y 13 16 RANGE X -17 -12 RANGE`;

- including the line `[X Y]average` computes a regional average. Eliminating it results in longitude/latitude fields, or maps of the predictor variable;

- start date (S) and lead time (L) need to be adjusted consistently, depending on the time that the prediction is made, and the period to be predicted.

The end result is an up-to-date time series of Sahel average precipitation predictions, concatenating hindcasts and forecasts starting in 1982, and using the 5 models analyzed in this study. In Expert mode it looks like this:

```plaintext
SOURCES .Models .NMME .CMC2-CanCM4 .HINDCAST .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average
[M X Y]average

SOURCES .Models .NMME .CMC2-CanCM4 .FORECAST .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average
```

March 27, 2020, 6:56pm
appendstream

SOURCES .Models .NMME .NCEP-CFSv2 .HINDCAST .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average

[M X Y]average

SOURCES .Models .NMME .NCEP-CFSv2 .FORECAST .EARLY_MONTH_SAMPLES .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average

[M X Y]average

appendstream

add

SOURCES .Models .NMME .NASA-GEOSS2S .HINDCAST .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES
S (0000 1 Apr ) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES
[L] /keepgrids average

[M X Y]average

SOURCES .Models .NMME .NASA-GEOSS2S .FORECAST .MONTHLY .prec
X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES

March 27, 2020, 6:56pm
S (0000 1 Apr) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES

[L] /keepgrids average

[M X Y]average

appendstream

add

SOURCES .Models .NMME .GFDL-CM2p1-aer04 .MONTHLY .prec

X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES

X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES

S (0000 1 Apr) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES

[L] /keepgrids average

[M X Y]average

add

SOURCES .Models .NMME .COLA-RSMAS-CCSM4 .MONTHLY .prec

X -20 40 RANGEEDGES Y 10 20 RANGEEDGES

S (0000 1 Apr) VALUES L (3.5) (5.5) RANGEEDGES

[L] /keepgrids average

[M X Y]average

add

5 div

/missing_value -999.0 def

SLtoT L removeGRID
When needed, forecasts are appended to hindcasts using \texttt{appendstream}, then each model is added to the previous, using \texttt{add}, and finally, the total sum is divided by the number of models, in this case 5, using \texttt{div}.

When model output is ready for download, the user clicks first on the \textit{Data files} tab above the \textit{Expert mode} window, then chooses the relevant format on the following web page. In addition to a format compatible with CPT, frequently used formats include formats for direct input into NCL or Matlab scripts, and downloads into netCDF files.
Figure S1. Regressions of predicted July-September Sahel rainfall with observed July-September sea surface temperatures, for start dates from January on the right to June on the left. The figure structure is the same as in Figure 3. The separate, top row is for the multi-model mean. Values, indicated statistically significant at the 5% level, are in degrees Celsius: contour starts at 0.1 degrees and is every 0.2 degrees. Color, red for positive values and blue for negative values, indicates statistical significance of the regression values at the 5% level.
Figure S2. Skill of NMME predictions for the July-September season. Predictions are started from the previous January, on the right in each panel, to the June immediately before the season, on the left, corresponding to lead times from 6 months to 1 month. Skill is measured by Spearman [solid line] and Pearson [dashed line] correlations over 1982-2016: the thick, red line is for the multi-model mean, the thinner lines of different colors are for single models, with the thick grey dotted line representing the 5% significance level. The left panel is the same as in Figure 2, for the multi-model system of all 5 NMME models considered in this study. The right panel is for the 2-model system using only the best models.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Lead time</th>
<th>Hindcast period</th>
<th>Forecast period</th>
<th>Ens Size</th>
<th>Size Forecast period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMC2-CanCM4</td>
<td>0-11 Jan 1982-Dec 2010</td>
<td>1 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLA-RSMAS-CCSM4</td>
<td>0-9 Jan 1982-Dec 2010</td>
<td>1 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA-GEOSS2S</td>
<td>0-8 Feb 1981-Jan 2017</td>
<td>4 Nov 2017 to present</td>
<td>10 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-CM2p1-aer04</td>
<td>0-11 Jan 1982-Dec 2010</td>
<td>1 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCEP-CFSv2</td>
<td>0-9 Jan 1982-Dec 2010</td>
<td>1 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>10 Jan 2011 to present</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1. Specifics of the NMME simulations used. The lead time is in months.